

POTENTIAL OF LIGHT WEIGHT FOAMED CONCRETE AS SUSTAINABLE
STRUCTURAL MATERIAL BY OPTIMIZATION AND
UTILIZATION OF WASTE MATERIALS

SUHAD M ABD

THESIS SUBMITTED IN FULFILLMENT FOR THE DEGREE OF
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING AND BUILT ENVIRONMENT
UNIVERSITI KEBANGSAAN MALAYSIA
BANGI

2010

POTENSI KONKRIT BENTUK FOAM BERKETUMPATAN RENDAH SEBAGAI
BAHAN STRUKTUR KELESTARIAN MENGGUNAKAN PROSES OPTIMISASI
DAN UTILISASI SISA BAHAN

SUHAD M ABD

TESIS YANG DIKEMUKAKAN UNTUK MEMPEROLEH IJAZAH
DOKTOR FALSAFAH

FAKULTI KEJURUTERAAN DAN ALAM BINA
UNIVERSITI KEBANGSAAN MALAYSIA
BANGI

2010

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is my own except for quotations and summaries which have been duly acknowledged.

12 October 2010

SUHAD M ABD
P38392

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

As an expression of gratitude and appreciation, I would like to thank my supervisor, Professor Eng. Dr. Muhammad Fauzi Mohd Zain, who has been the vector guide of my Ph.D project and an admirable mentor from the very first day. It has been a privilege to work with such a brilliant supervisor and his vision will continue to enlighten me in the future. I am very thankful to Associate Professor Dr. Roszillah Binti Hamid who provided precious assistance throughout this Ph.D. program, she has shared her time, knowledge and experience with me, and she was a dependable source of support to me.

I wish to give thanks to the SUCOMBS research group for participating in this project and for all the co-operation I received while performing my research there. I would specifically like to thank Civil and Structural Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering and Built Environment, and the National University of Malaysia Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM); their support was of great benefit to the successful completion of this project, without the financial support throughout the

projects fund no: 03-01-02-SF0238 and 03-01-02-SF084 , this project would not have been possible. The author acknowledges and appreciates the excellent cooperation of Associate Professor Dr. Hamidah Mohd Saman and Associate Professor Dr. Azmi Ibrahim, UiTM for their theoretical and technical advices during the experimental work.

Thanks and gratitude to my beloved brothers and sister for their motivation and supporting, and prays for my father, mother and my brother; Al-Shaheed Jasim whom I wished them to see my work. I thank my husband; Abbas, the supporter, who insists on the achievement, despite of our difficult circumstances, he continued to give contributions and encouragement generously throughout the duration of the study.

Last but definitely not least, my deepest appreciation goes to my home country, Iraq, my University, Diyala University, and my colleagues whom have inspired me and helped me in every step of this process.

ABSTRACT

This study was conducted in response to the need for improved concrete in economic and ecological manner while maintaining the existing goal toward creating sustainable building materials. Foamed concrete was investigated in this study as a lightweight building material that can be of significant importance if the strength is optimized and the cost is minimized. This work consists of three stages. The first stage include trial mixes for light weight foamed concrete (LFC). These trial mixes comprise on studying the effect of number of variables such as water to binder ratio, sand to cementitious materials ratio, types of sand and utilization of different waste materials for different densities in the range of 1200-1800 kg/m³. Waste materials were investigated to improve economics associated with the use of LFC in construction without compromising the performance and sustainability goals. These materials were added to the mix as partial replacement of Ordinary Portland Cement with different percentages in order to determine their effects and to specify the optimum content for higher strength for each material. Trial mixes were done with silica fume 5-15 %, fly ash 20-40 % while ground granulated blast furnace slag was in range of 15-25 % by weight of cement. These mixes were tested in the laboratory for a number of

performance criteria such as fresh density, dry density and compressive strength at different ages. It was found that these materials improve strength development for LFC. To get the most suitable mix for the designed LFC panels, optimization of LFC mix design was carried out in the second stage. First, optimum content for each material was specified then all these materials were used to perform mixes with densities in the range of 1200-1600 Kg/m³. Fibers were introduced in this study to optimize the LFC mix and to improve the behaviour and properties. Polypropylene fibers and steel fibers were used in the range of 0.25-0.5% by volume of mix. Results revealed that fibers contribute to the strength of LFC in term of compression and tension. Superplasticizers (SP) were investigated with different amounts to enhance properties of LFC mix. It was found that the use of SP is crucial for LFC but with extensive care during the production process. The hardened LFCs were tested for compressive strength, splitting tensile strength and flexural strength to study the mechanical properties of LFC. In this stage, two types of curing were performed to determine the effect of curing regime on strength development. Also, Resonance frequency test was performed in this stage to calculate the dynamic modulus of elasticity. Last stage was the utilization of optimized LFC mix for the designed panels with different densities. Numbers of tests were conducted on these panels to investigate their behaviour and suitability for building construction. Based on the results obtained, light weight foamed concrete with desired density 1200-1600 Kg/m³ and compressive strength of 15-34 MPa respectively was produced for the first time through utilization of waste materials and optimization of mix design including the use of SP and fibers. It can be concluded that this study has met its objectives via achieving the goal of sustainability and the goal of potential application of LFC to produce lightweight structural elements.

POTENSI KONKRIT BENTUK FOAM BERKETUMPATAN RENDAH SEBAGAI BAHAN STRUKTUR KELESTARIAN MENGGUNAKAN PROSES OPTIMISASI DAN UTILISASI SISA BAHAN

ABSTRAK

Kajian ini dilakukan sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk mempertingkatkan aspek keberkesanan kos dan ekologi konkrit tetapi masih mengekalkan tujuan utama kearah menjadikan bahan binaan yang lestari. Dalam kajian ini, konkrit berbuis telah dikaji sebagai bahan binaan ringan yang boleh digunakan jika kekuatannya dioptimumkan dan kosnya diminimakan. Kajian ini mengandungi tiga peringkat. Peringkat pertama adalah bancuhan cubaan untuk menghasilkan konkrit berbuis (LFC). Bancuhan cubaan ini melibatkan kajian terhadap kesan bilangan variasinya seperti nisbah air kepada bahan campuran simen, pasir kepada bahan campuran simen, jenis pasir yang digunakan dan bahan sisa yang berbeza dengan ketumpatannya dalam julat 1200–1800 kg/m³. Bahan sisa digunakan untuk memperbaiki aspek ekonomi yang berkaitan dengan penggunaan LFC dalam pembinaan tanpa mengabaikan tahap kecekapan dan ketahanannya. Bahan ini digunakan sebagai gantian kepada Simen Portland Biasa dengan peratusan berbeza bertujuan mengenalpasti kesannya dan menentukan bahan optimum untuk kekuatan yang lebih tinggi bagi setiap bahan. Dalam

kajian ini, bancuhan cubaan dijalankan dengan perkadaran wasap silika sebanyak 5-15%, abu terbang sebanyak 20-40% dan sangar relau bagas pula adalah 15-25% daripada berat simen. Campuran ini diuji di makmal untuk mengenalpasti beberapa kriteria seperti ketumpatan basah, ketumpatan kering dan kekuatan mampatan pada umur berbeza. Didapati bahawa bahan ini dapat meningkatkan tahap pembentukan kekuatan untuk LFC. Bagi mendapatkan campuran yang sesuai untuk panel LFC terubahsuai, pengoptimuman campuran LFC dilakukan dalam peringkat kedua. Pertama, kandungan optimum untuk setiap bahan telah ditentukan kemudian bahan-bahan ini digunakan untuk menghasilkan campuran dengan ketumpatan dalam 1200-1600 kg/m³. Fiber telah digunakan dalam kajian ini untuk mengoptimumkan campuran LFC dan memperbaiki sifat dan kelakuannya. Fiber polipropylena dan fiber keluli digunakan dalam julat 0.25-0.5% daripada isipadu campuran tersebut. Keputusan ujikaji menunjukkan bahawa fiber keluli memberi impak lebih berbanding fiber polipropylena terhadap kekuatan LFC dalam mampatan dan tegangan. Superpemplastikan (SP) pula dikaji dengan jumlah yang berbeza untuk memperbaiki sifat-sifat bancuhan LFC. Didapati penggunaan SP memerlukan penjagaan sangat rapi semasa proses pembuatan. Kekerasan LFC telah diuji untuk kekuatan mampatan, kekuatan tegangan dan kekuatan lenturan bagi mengkaji sifat mekanikal LFC. Di peringkat ini, dua jenis penambahbaikan telah digunakan untuk mengkaji kesan terhadap regim pengawetan dalam pembentukan kekuatan. Ujian Resonance frequency telah juga dijalankan di peringkat ini untuk mengira dinamik modulus elasticiti. Peringkat akhir adalah penggunaan campuran optimum LFC untuk panel rekabentuk dengan ketumpatan dan bilangan ujian yang berlainan dikaji pada panel tersebut untuk mengkaji sifat dan kesesuaian dalam pembinaan bangunan. Berdasarkan kepada hasil ujikaji yang dicapai, ianya boleh menghasilkan konkrit berbasa dengan ketumpatan 1200-1600 kg/m³ dan kekuatan mampatan pada 15-34 MPa untuk kali pertama melalui penggunaan bahan sisa dan pengoptimuman rekabentuk bahan campuran termasuk penggunaan SP dan fiber. Sebagai rumusan, kajian ini telah mencapai objektifnya melalui pencapaian terhadap misi kelestarian dan potensi penggunaan LFC dalam menghasilkan struktur elemen berketumpatan rendah.

CONTENTS

	Page
DECLARATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
ABSTRACT	v
ABSTRAK	vi
CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xv

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

xx

CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION

1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Sustainability of construction materials	2
1.3	Exceptionality	3
1.4	Problem statement	4
1.5	Objectives	5
1.6	Scope and limitations	7
1.7	Structure of the thesis	8

CHAPTER II FOAM CONCRETE, MATERIALS AND APPLICATIONS

2.1	Introduction	10
2.2	Conventional concrete	11
2.3	Lightweight concrete	12
	2.3.1 Classifications of lightweight concrete	12
	2.3.2 Definition, history and background	14
	2.3.3 Characteristics of lightweight foamed concrete	15
2.4	Density	18
2.5	Water to cement ratio (w/c)	18
2.6	Mechanical properties of foamed concrete	19
	2.6.1 Compressive strength	19
	2.6.2 Factors affecting compressive strength of foamed concrete	21
	2.6.3 Splitting tensile strength	26
	2.6.4 Flexural strength	29
	2.6.5 Modulus of elasticity	32
	2.6.6 Water absorption	34
	2.6.7 Thermal conductivity	35
2.7	Materials	37
	2.7.1 Portland cements	37

2.7.2	Sand	38
2.7.3	Foam	40
2.7.4	Superplasticizers	41
2.8	Industrial waste by-products materials	43
2.8.1	The use of by-products materials in concrete	44
2.8.2	Fly ash	45
2.8.3	The use of fly ash in concrete	46
2.8.4	Silica fume	47
2.8.5	The use of silica fume in concrete	48
2.8.6	Ground granulated blast furnace slag	49
2.8.7	Fibers	51
2.9	Applications of light weight foamed concrete	56
2.9.1	Trench reinstatement	57
2.9.2	Void Filling	57
2.9.3	Replacement of existing soil	58
2.9.4	Lateral load reduction	58
2.9.5	Soil stabilization	58
2.9.6	Bearing capacity enlargement	59
2.9.7	Raft foundation	59
2.9.8	Roof slopes	59
2.9.9	Floor levelling	59
2.9.10	Blinding	60
2.9.11	Sports field and athletic tracks	60
2.9.12	Filling of pipes	60
2.9.13	Support of tank bottoms	60
2.9.14	Shock-absorbing concrete (SACON)	60
2.9.15	Thermal insulation	61
2.10	Fiber reinforced concrete	61
2.11	Structural application	64
2.12	Typical uses of foamed concrete as structural elements	65
CHAPTER III SUSTAINABILITY		
3.1	Introduction	66
3.2	Criticisms of cement and concrete industry	67
3.3	Sustainable building	70
3.4	Concrete and environment	72

3.5	Facts about foamed concrete	74
3.6	Economics of mineral admixtures usage	76
3.6.1	Ground granulated blast furnace slag	77
3.6.2	Sustainability of ggbs	78
3.6.3	Use of fly ash in concrete	79
3.6.4	Sustainability of fly ash	79
3.6.5	Sustainability of silica fume	80
3.7	Sustainable concrete solutions	81
CHAPTER IV RESEARCH PROGRAM AND EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE		
4.1	Introduction	83
4.2	Experimental plan	83
4.3	Materials	83
4.3.1	Cements	84
4.3.2	Sand	84
4.3.3	Water	85
4.3.4	Silica fume	86
4.3.5	Fly ash	86
4.3.6	Ground granulated blast furnace slag content	87
4.3.7	Superplasticizer	87
4.3.8	Fibers	87
4.3.9	Foaming agent	88
4.4	Proportioning and designing of foamed concrete mix	89
4.5	Mix proportions	90
4.6	Foamed concrete production	91
4.6.1	Foamed concrete mixing techniques	91
4.6.2	Sampling	93
4.6.3	Moulding	93
4.6.4	Demoulding	94
4.6.5	Curing	94
4.7	Test Methods	94
4.7.1	Compressive strength	95

4.7.2	Splitting tensile strength	96
4.7.3	Flexural strength	96
4.7.4	Resonance frequency test	97
4.7.5	Water absorption	97
4.7.6	Thermal conductivity	98

CHAPTER V RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

5.1	Introduction	98
5.2	Stage I : Trial mixes for all constituents	98
5.2.1	Effects of filler size on the compressive strength of light weight foamed concrete	98
5.2.2	Effect of sand to cement ratio on the compressive strength of light weight foamed concrete	101
5.2.3	Effects of w/c ratio on the compressive strength of light weight foamed concrete	103
5.2.4	Effects of silica fume on the compressive strength of LFC	106
5.2.5	Effects of ground granulated blast furnace slag	114
5.2.6	Effects of fly ash on the compressive strength of light weight foamed concrete	120
5.3	Stage II: Optimization through incorporating superplasticizers and fibers in LFC mix	128
5.3.1	Effects of super plasticizers on the compressive strength of LFC	129
5.3.2	Combined utilization of waste materials in concrete	136
5.3.3	Optimization of LFC by the combined use of waste materials with SP	139
5.3.4	Effects of fibers	147
5.3.5	Further optimization of LFC mix proportion	156
5.3.6	Flexural strength	158
5.3.7	Splitting tensile strength of LFC	166
5.3.8	Dynamic modulus of elasticity	171
5.3.9	Water absorption	174
5.3.10	Thermal conductivity	179
5.4	Stage III: Light weight foamed concrete wall panels	181
5.4.1	Testing light weight foamed concrete panels	185

CHAPTER VI MATHEMATICAL MODELLING FOR STRENGTH OF FOAMED CONCRETE

6.1	Introduction	194
6.2	Historical background	194
6.3	Derivations of the mathematical regression model	197
6.4	The variables suggested for the mathematical model and their effect on compressive strength	198
6.4.1	Effect of cement content	198
6.4.2	Effect of foam content	198
6.4.3	Effect of density	198
6.4.4	Effect of water to cement ratio (w/c)	198
6.4.5	Effect of sand to cement ratio or sand to cementitious ratio (s/c)	199
6.5	The mathematical model	199

CHAPTER VI I CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

7.1	Introduction	202
7.2	Compressive Strength	202
7.2.1	Effect of filler size	203
7.2.2	The Effect of Sand to Cement Ratio(S/C)	203
7.2.3	Effect of W/C Ratio	203
7.2.4	Effect of Fly Ash	204
7.2.5	Effect of Silica Fume	204
7.2.6	Effect of Slag	204
7.2.7	Effect of SP	205
7.2.8	Mix Optimization by Combined Use of Waste Materials	206
7.2.9	Further Optimization	206
7.2.10	Effect of Foam	207
7.2.11	Effect of Fibers	207
7.3	Flexural Strength of LFC	209
7.4	Splitting Tensile Strength of LFC	210
7.5	Dynamic modulus of elasticity	211
7.6	Water Absorption	211
7.7	Thermal conductivity	213
7.8	Wall panels	213

7.9	The mathematical model	214
7.10	Overall conclusions	215
7.11	Recommendations	216
REFERENCES		219
APPENDICES		
A	List of Publication and Awards	236
B	Photos for experimental work	238
C	Details of mix proportions for foamed concrete	252

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.		Page
2.1	An overview of compressive strength of foam concrete for various mixture composition and densities reported in literature	20
2.2	Splitting tensile strength of concrete	28
2.3	Effect of the addition of polypropylene fibers on properties of foamed concrete	32
2.4	Types of cement suitable for the production of foamed concrete (BS)	37

3.1	The likely impact of climate change on the built environment	72
3.2	The effectiveness of GGBS in reducing the embodied CO ₂ of concrete	79
4.1	Silica sand of size < 4.75mm	85
4.2	Silica sand of size < 2.36mm	86
4.3	Silica sand of size 600 μ m - 250 μ m	86
4.4	Silica sand of size 250 μ m - 150 μ m	86
4.5	Chemical analysis of silica fume used in this study	87
4.6	Chemical analysis for fly ash	87
4.7	Chemical analysis for ground granulated blast furnace slag	88
4.8	Specifications for polypropylene fibers	89
4.9	Specification for steel fiber	89
4.10	Properties for EABASSOC Concrete Foaming Agent	90
5.1	Fresh density, dry density and compressive strength of foamed concrete for different sands, w/c and S/C	102
5.2	Fresh density, dry density and compressive strength of foamed concrete for different target density and silica fume content	102
5.3	Fresh density, dry density and compressive strength of foamed concrete for different target density and GGBFS content	117
5.4	Fresh density, dry density and compressive strength of foamed concrete for different target density and fly ash content	122
5.5	Fresh density, dry density and compressive strength of foamed concrete for different SP dosages	137
5.6	Fresh density, dry density and compressive strength of different foamed concrete mixes for different target density, waste materials content and SP	144
5.7	Fresh density, dry density and compressive strength of foamed concrete for mixes with different fiber type and content for two types of curing	151
5.8	Fresh density, dry density and compressive strength of foamed	158

	concrete for final mixes with two types of curing	
5.9	Flexural strength of foamed concrete for selected mixes	165
5.10	Splitting tensile strength of foamed concrete for selected mixes	169
5.11	Dynamic modulus of elasticity of foamed concrete for selected mixes	174
5.12	Water absorption of foamed concrete for final mixes	177
5.13	Thermal conductivity for final mixes of foamed concrete	181
5.14	Axial load and compressive strength for LFC wall panels on short direction	189
5.15	Axial load and compressive strength for LFC wall panels on long direction	189
6.1	Summary On Strength Prediction Models for Foam Concrete	201
6.2	Correlations between variables and 28 day compressive strength	
6.3	Values for regression parameters	202

LIST OF FIGURES

No.		Page
2.1	Effects of the types of cement and plastic density on compressive strength of 1200 and 1400 kg/m ³ foamed concrete	21
2.2	Development of the compressive strength of foamed concrete with density of 1400, 1600 and 1800 kg/m ³	24
2.3	The effect of curing regime on the 28 days compressive strength of foamed concrete PC/PFA mixes and PC/sand mixes	25
2.4	The relationship between splitting tensile strength and 28 days compressive for PC/sand mixes and PC/PFA mixes strength	28

2.5	Bending moment diagrams for center-point loading and third-point loading	30
2.6	The relationship between E value and 28 days compressive strength for sand mixes and PFA mixes	34
2.7	Types of fibers; Steel, glass, synthetic and natural fibers with different lengths and shapes can be used in concrete	55
3.1	Steps of converting limestone into Portland cement	69
4.1	Foam Generator and Junior Foam Generator	94
4.2	The cube specimen positioned under axial load	96
4.3	Splitting tension test (ASTM C496): top diagrammatic arrangement of the test	97
4.4	Flexural strength test by third-point loading according to (ASTM C 78)	97
4.5	Resonance frequency test	98
5.1	Effect of sand size on the strength of foamed concrete	102
5.2	Effect of s/c ratio on the compressive strength of foamed concrete	104
5.3	Effect of w/c ratio on the compressive strength of foamed concrete	107
5.4	Effect of silica fume on the strength of 1200 Kg/m ³ foamed concrete	110
5.5	Effect of silica fume on the strength of 1400 Kg/m ³ foamed concrete	110
5.6	Effect of silica fume on the strength of 1600 Kg/m ³ foamed concrete	111
5.7	Effect of silica fume on the strength of 1800 Kg/m ³ foamed concrete	114
5.8	Optimum content of silica fume	115
5.9	Effect of slag on the compressive strength of 1200 Kg/m ³ foamed concrete	117
5.10	Effect of slag on compressive strength of 1400 Kg/m ³ foamed concrete	118
5.11	Effect of slag on compressive strength of 1600 Kg/m ³ foamed concrete	118
5.12	Effect of slag on compressive strength of 1800 Kg/m ³ foamed concrete	119
5.13	Optimum slag content for foamed concrete	119
5.14	Effect of fly ash on compressive strength of 1200 Kg/m ³ foamed	123

	concrete	
5.15	Effect of fly ash on compressive strength of 1400 Kg/m ³ foamed concrete	123
5.16	Effect of fly ash on compressive strength of 1600 Kg/m ³ foamed concrete	124
5.17	Effect of fly ash on compressive strength of 1800 Kg/m ³ foamed concrete	124
5.18	Optimum content of fly ash	125
5.19	Effect of SP dosage on the compressive strength of foamed concrete	136
5.20	Effect of SP dosage on compressive strength of foamed concrete with waste materials	142
5.21	Effect of w/c ratio on strength of SP foamed concrete	145
5.22	Effect of SP and waste materials on strength of foamed concrete for different densities	147
5.23	Effect of multifilament polypropylene fibers of strength of 1400 kg/m ³ with different curing regimes	152
5.24	Effect of fibrillated polypropylene fibers of strength of 1400 kg/m ³ with different curing regimes	153
5.25	Effect of steel fibers of strength of 1400 kg/m ³ with different curing regimes	153
5.26	Optimum content of different fibers types of strength of 1400 kg/m ³ with different curing regimes	154
5.27	Effect of different types of fibers of strength of 1200 kg/m ³ with different curing regimes	155
5.28	Effect of different types of fibers of strength of 1600 kg/m ³ with different curing regimes	155
5.29	Final optimized mix with SP and waste materials only	159
5.30	Final optimized mix containing SP and waste materials with fibrillated polypropylene fibers	159
5.31	Final optimized mix containing SP and waste materials with steel fibers	160
5.32	Relationship between flexural strength and compressive strength of foamed concrete for two types of curing	163

5.33	Effect of mix proportions and different fibers on flexural strength of foamed concrete	163
5.34	Observed versus predicted flexural strength of foamed concrete for dry curing compressive strength	166
5.35	Observed versus predicted flexural strength of foamed concrete for wrapped curing compressive strength	166
5.36	Relationship between splitting tensile strength and 28 day compressive strength of foamed concrete with two types of curing	170
5.37	Relationship between splitting tensile strength and dry density of foamed concrete with different mix constituents	170
5.38	Observed versus predicted splitting tensile strength of foamed concrete for dry curing compressive strength	171
5.39	Observed versus predicted splitting tensile strength of foamed concrete for wrapped curing compressive strength	171
5.40	Relationship between dynamic modulus of elasticity and 28 day compressive strength	174
5.41	Water absorption of 1200 Kg/m ³ for different mixes	178
5.42	Water absorption of 1400 Kg/m ³ for different mixes	178
5.43	Water absorption of 1600 Kg/m ³ for different mixes	179
5.44	Relationship between water absorption and dry density for different mixes	179
5.45	Thermal conductivity for final mixes of foamed concrete	181
5.46	Figure 5.46 Types of forces subjected on the panels	184
5.47	Testing of panels on (a) long direction and (b) short direction	185
5.48	Behaviour of different mixes for 1200 wall panel under axial load on short direction	190
5.49	Behaviour of different mixes for 1400 wall panel under axial load on short direction	191
5.50	Behaviour of different mixes for 1600 wall panel under axial load on short direction	192
5.51	Behaviour of different mixes for 1200 wall panel under axial load on long direction	193

5.52	Behaviour of different mixes for 1400 wall panel under axial load on long direction	194
5.53	Behaviour of different mixes for 1600 wall panel under axial load on long direction	195
6.1	Observed versus predicted 28 days compressive strength obtained from mathematical model	202

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

Slag	Ground granulated blast furnace slag
SP	Superplasticizer
LFC	Lightweight Foamed Concrete
MPa	Mega Pascal
W/mK	Watt/meter. Kelvin
PC	Portland cement
S/C	Sand to cement ratio

W/C	Water to cement ratio
W/B	Water to binder ratio
NRMC CIP	National ready mix concrete –concrete in practice
E_d	Dynamic modulus of elasticity
HRWR	High Range Water Reducers
HVFA	High volume fly ash
PFA	Pulverized fly ash
SF	Silica fume
CSF	Condensed silica fume
MK	High-reactivity metakaolin
SFRC	Steel fiber-reinforced concrete
WRAP	Waste and resources action program
RSA	Recycled and secondary aggregates
PVA	Polyvinyl alcohol fibers
MOE	Modulus of elasticity
CPDT	Chloride penetration depth test
MOR	Modulus of rupture
FRLACC	fiber-reinforced, lightweight aggregate, cellular concrete
SFRLACC	Steel fiber-reinforced, lightweight aggregate, cellular concrete
CLC	Cellular lightweight concrete
PCA	Portland cement association
GHGs	Green house gases
OPC	Ordinary portland cement
CSMA	Cementitious slag maker association
CS-H	Silicate hydrate
d_w	Unit weight of fresh concrete (Kg/m ³)
E_d/f_{28}	Ratio of dynamic modulus of elasticity to 28 day compressive

	strength
f_{flex}	Flexural strength
f_{comp}	Compressive strength
f_{split}	Splitting tensile strength
ΔT	Temperature difference between inner (heated) and outer (ambient) surface
λ	Thermal conductivity
Q	Time rate of heat flow
V	The volume of the foamed concrete sample
M	The oven-dry mass of the foamed concrete sample
D_{dry}	Dry density of foamed concrete test specimen
w_1	The weight of sample after immerse in water till constant weight
w_0	The weight of 50°C oven dried sample
C	Cement content in (Kg)